## CS#40

## <u>Airtraq Laryngoscope versus conventional Macintosh Laryngoscope: a systematic review and meta – analysis</u>

British Journal of Anaesthesia 2011

Y.Lu H. Jiang & Y.S. Zhu

Shanghai Jiao Tong University China

Several randomised controlled trials have compared the Airtraq with the Macintosh laryngoscope, to provide greater clarity about outcomes, the authors carried out a systematic review and meta-analysis.

The results were pooled of the intubation time, the first attempt success rate, the incidence of complications and the incidence of oesophageal intubation.

A total of 133 studies were received:

The authors main result is that the **Airtraq significantly decreased intubation time** both in novice and inexperienced anaesthetists **compared with conventional Macintosh laryngoscopy**. Only in novice anaesthetists did the Airtraq significantly increase the first attempt success rate. The **Airtraq also reduced the chance of oesophageal intubation** and haemodynamic findings in three studies showed that the **Airtraq produces less haemodynamic stimulation**.